

Chattisham & Hintlesham Parish Council.

MINUTES OF AN EXTRAORDINARY MEETING OF CHATTISHAM AND HINTLESHAM PARISH COUNCIL HELD AT THE COMMUNITY HALL MEETING ROOM AT 7.30P.M. ON THURSDAY 8TH AUGUST, 2013.

Present:

Councillors: Stephanie Coupland, (Chair), Ian Bryce, Robert Taylor , Margaret Taylor, Peter Eaton, Chris Leney, Frances Self. Ben Cox, Di Chase.

Apologies: Received from Robin Baldry. John Whyman.

The Meeting was called to Formulate a response to the Community Involvement Consultation Document, (CICD) received by the Parish Council and a reply has to be sent to Babergh District Council before the 16th August. 2013. As no meeting is organised for August it was necessary to call this meeting.

Discussion on previous planning applications took place and reasons why BDC had passed them when the PC had put in objections for refusal. It was felt that the PC is not always listened to in these matters and that it is hoped that BDC will look at these issues when completing their Local Plan and the Community Involvement Consultation.

There was a very lengthy discussion regarding CICD policy. Prior to the meeting Frances Self had looked at the document and raised some issues in relation to this. Members of the Council put forward their response as well and the attached Response was sent into BDC. Many thanks was given to Frances for her valid knowledge regarding this Document.

Babergh and Mid Suffolk Development Frameworks

Response Form for submitting comments on: Pre-Draft consultation on the new Statement of Community Involvement

Before completing this Response Form please note that:

- Representations should only relate to community engagement in planning.
- All valid comments (electronic or written) and the name(s) of the respondent will be made publically available. Personal contact details will remain confidential.

All comments must be received by no later than 4.00pm on Friday 16th August 2013

Completed response form(s) should be returned by post or by e-mail to:

Babergh District Council
Planning Policy Team (SCI Consultation)

Mid Suffolk District Council
Planning Policy Team (SCI Consultation)

Council Offices
Corks Lane
Hadleigh
Suffolk, IP7 6SJ

Council Offices
High Street
Needham Market
Suffolk, IP6 DL

E-mail: ldf@babergh.gov.uk

E-mail: planningpolicy@midsuffolk.gov.uk

The pre-draft statement summary for the new Statement of Community Involvement and all other relevant supporting material can be viewed on-line by following the Statement of Community Involvement link on the LDF homepage of the Council's websites (see below) or in person at the Council Offices:

www.babergh.gov.uk/babergh/ldf

<http://msdc.onesuffolk.net/planning-and-building/planning-policy/local-development-framework/>

Respondents Details

All respondents should complete Part A. If you are an Agent please complete Parts A & B

Part A: Respondent
Title / Name: Mrs Stephanie Coupland
Job Title (if applicable): Chairman
Organisation / Company (if applicable): Chattisham and Hintlesham Parish Council
Address: 37 Bentley Lane Belstead Village, Ipswich
Postcode: IP8 3LX
Tel No: 01473 730597
E-mail: hintandchat.pc@btinternet.com

Part B: Agents – Please complete details of the client / company you represent.
Name of client / organisation / company:
Name / contact details of client / company:
Address:
Postcode:

Tel No:
E-mail:

Your representation

<p>Q1. Do you agree with the suggested commitments, or would you like to suggest alternatives?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">a. Use Plain English eg. '5. Proportionate to the value of Output for the Community.' What does this mean ? How does it differ from 8 ?b. Education: Some degree of training for community representatives is necessary for the SCI to be 'Accessible to all'.(No.2).c. Feedback: Whilst recognising that an individual response to community views is not possible, community concerns should at least be addressed in Planning Decision Notices including feedback to Parish Councils. How can the quality and value of community response improve without feedback? d. Effectiveness. The SCI's review/evaluation should include views from the community. At the very least a survey of Town and Parish Councils as stated in the 2006 SCI. e. Resources. Ensuring enough staff are allocated for community involvement.
<p>Q2. Do you agree with the suggested principles? Please suggest others, or amendments if you wish?</p> <p>Although we have made no alternative suggestions this should not be taken as agreement to the suggested principles.</p> <p>Timelines should allow for holiday periods for community feedback.</p>
<p>Q3. Do you agree with the suggested scope of the SCI?</p> <p>Neighbourhood Plans. This needs a wider explanation of the support offered by Babergh.</p> <p>Scope should be expanded to include consultations on major infrastructure projects.</p>

<p>Q4. How would you like to be involved in plan making- Local Plans and Policies for future planning of your area, and what methods of engagement do you think work best?</p> <p>a. No.2 Web site. This must be more accessible and easier to navigate. Policies with more than a few pages need bullet pointing. There should be a clear definition between current and out of date policies.</p> <p>b. No.5. Social Media to be trialled only as an additional means of communication.</p>
<p>Q5. How would you like to be engaged in the consideration of planning applications?</p> <p>a. Education : free training in evaluation of Planning Applications for Parish Council representatives.</p> <p>b. Feedback at all levels including updates.</p>

I understand that my response will be considered by the council in preparing a consultation draft Statement of Community Involvement, and that my comments will be made publicly available and identifiable to my name and/or organisation. The information in this form is, to the best of my knowledge, correct.

Signed: S Coupland	Dated: 9th August 2013
---------------------------	--

Thank you for taking the time to give us our views

The Clerk had received an email from Joy Moran, Transport Services Manager, Hadleigh Community Transport Group, about possibility of re routing the 90 evening and Sunday service via Duke Street. This was greatly appreciated and the clerk has been asked to send email stating the PC view that it was a great idea.

The Chairman reported that the Contractors had started on the Affordable Housing in

Timperleys. Letters to householders on Timperleys were only posted on the day that contractors arrived on site, and that ideally the householders should have been given a weeks notice.

Item for next months Agenda.

30mph Stickers for Wheelie Bins.

New Notice Board for Duke Street.